

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/910,867	07/24/2001	Gianni Trionfetti	TRIONFETTI=7	4025
1444	7590 08/29/2002			
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
SUITE 300	STREET, NW		MULLINS, BURTON S	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applica	ant(s)			
,	09/910,867	TRIONI	TRIONFETTI, GIANNI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Uni	t			
	Burton S. Mullins	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the correspon	ndence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be co SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing become ABANDONED (35 U.S.	nsidered timely. date of this communication. C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	—— · his action is non-f	nal				
			on as to the ments is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	r Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G	. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election require	ment.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			10			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	is National Stage			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language p	orovisional applica	tion has been received.				
Attachment(s)	• •					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Notice of Informal Patent A				

.Application/Control Number: 09/910,867

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### **Specification**

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification appears to be a literal translation of the Italian applicant and is replete with ungrammatical and non-idiomatic English. For example, "...during rotation of said rotors, in many cases running..." (p.1, lines 15-16); "getting into contact" (p.2, line 5); "[f]rom checks of the experimental type..." (p.3, line 9); "unperfect" (p.3, lines 14&15); "...nor can be conceived..." (p.4, line 1). Applicant is requested to amend the specification to remove such informalities. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, recitation "able to axially stop said support shaft" is indefinite because it is not clear if the antecedent of this phrase is the abutment surface or the force.

.Application/Control Number: 09/910,867

Art Unit: 2834

Similarly, "and to maintain an interstice..." lacks antecedent basis because it is not clear whether this refers to the force or some other element. In claim 8, the singular "block" does not correspond to the plural "attachment members." Also, recitation "susceptible of being engaged by screwing by said sleeve" is vague and indefinite.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bock et al. (US 5,855,110). Bock teaches an aerostatic bearing for a spinning device comprising: a support shaft 11 defining a rotation axis and with two end faces (generally denoted 12 and 111 in Fig.1); a thrust unit (axial bearing 6) having an abutment surface (610; Fig.9) adjacent to one rotor end face 111 and having a fluid-emitting means comprising compressed air (c.4, lines 10-17) which forms a layer of fluid between the abutment surface 610 and the end face 111, the fluid layer adapted to exert a repulsive (axial) force on the end face (c.4, lines 14-17) and able to axially stop the shaft and maintain an interstice (bearing gap 630) between the abutment surface and the end face of the shaft.

Bock differs in that his aerostatic bearing is not used on the rotor of an electric motor, per se. However, it would have been obvious to use Bock's aerostatic bearing on an electric

. Application/Control Number: 09/910,867

Art Unit: 2834

motor since it has been held that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Regarding claim 4, note bores 71 in the face 610 of the abutment element generally denoted by numeral 6 (Fig.5a)

Regarding claim 6, the pipe comprises "connection" 62 (Fig.2), while the sleeve comprises "holder" 61 placed at the end of the pipe and engaged with the abutment element 6.

Regarding claim 7, the sleeve 61 and abutment element 6 are attached by attachment members shown in Fig.2 as flanges on the sleeve.

Regarding claim 8, though not taught by Bock, it would have been an obvious matter of engineering design to use screws to screw the sleeve 16 to the abutment element 6.

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest that the abutment element comprises a flexible "foil element" with at least one hole therein, as described on p.7, lines 1-9 of the specification.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,867

Art Unit: 2834

### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Burton S. Mullins whose telephone number is 305-7063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am to 5 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305-1341 for regular communications and 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

Burton S. Mullins Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

bsm

August 23, 2002

Page 5